

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No. 10/766,601	Applicant(s) STURDY, JAMES T.	
	Examiner ANDY HO	Art Unit 2194	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Andy Ho.
- (2) Marcus Thymian (43,954).

Date of Interview: 30 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to:   ☐ Applicant      ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   ☐ Yes         ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

---

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1 and 11*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

---

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

---

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 1 and 11. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.